arXiv:1403.0305v3 [math.DG] 26 Mar 2014

LAGRANGIAN IMMERSIONS IN THE PRODUCT OF LORENTZIAN TWO MANIFOLDS

NIKOS GEORGIOU

Abstract. For Lorentzian 2-manifolds (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) we consider the two product para-Kähler structures $(G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$ defined on the product four manifold $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, with $\epsilon = \pm 1$. We show that the metric G^ϵ is locally conformally flat (resp. Einstein) if and only if the Gauss curvatures κ_1, κ_2 of g_1, g_2, respectively, are both constants satisfying $\kappa_1 = -\epsilon\kappa_2$ (resp. $\kappa_1 = \epsilon\kappa_2$). We give the conditions on the Gauss curvatures for which every Lagrangian surface with parallel mean curvature vector is the product $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \subset \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are curves of constant curvature. We study Lagrangian surfaces in the product $d\mathbb{S}^2 \times d\mathbb{S}^2$ with non null parallel mean curvature vector and finally, we explore the stability and Hamiltonian stability of certain minimal Lagrangian surfaces and H-minimal surfaces.

1. Introduction

This article is a continuation of our previous work [8] on which we have studied minimal Lagrangian surfaces in the Kähler structures endowed in the product $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ of Riemannian two manifolds. Here, we consider again the product structure $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, where (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) are Lorentzian surfaces. By Lorentz surface we mean a connected, orientable 2-manifold Σ endowed with a metric g of indefinite signature. Analogously with the Riemannian case, we may construct a para-Kähler structure (g, j, ω) on a Lorentzian surface (Σ, g). We recall that a *para-Kähler structure* is a pair (g, j) defined on a manifold M of even dimension and has the same properties than a Kähler one, except that j is paracomplex structure rather than complex, i.e, we have $j^2 = Id$ and is integrable, by meaning that the Nijenhuis tensor,

$$N^J(X,Y) := [X,Y] + [JX,JY] - J[JX,Y] - J[X,JY], \tag{1.1}$$

vanishes. Moreover, the compatibilty condition of the metric g with the paracomplex structure j becomes $g(j.,j.) = -g(.,.)$. The symplectic structure ω can be defined by $\omega(.,.) = g(j.,.)$.

For Lorentzian surfaces (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2), we denote by κ_1 and κ_2 the Gauss curvatures of g_1 and g_2, respectively. For $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, we may define an almost para-Kähler structure $(G^\epsilon, \Omega^\epsilon, J)$ on the product $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ where G^ϵ is the para-Kähler metric, the endomorphism J is an almost paracomplex structure and Ω^ϵ is the symplectic 2-form. This structure will be described in Section 2 and in particular we prove:

Date: 25 March 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 51M09; Secondary: 51M30.

Key words and phrases. Lorentzian surfaces, para-Kaehler structure, minimal Lagrangian surfaces, surfaces with parallel mean curvarture vector, Hamiltonian minimal surfaces.

The author is supported by Fapesp (2010/08669-9)

Theorem 1. *If (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) are Lorentzian two manifolds, the quadruples $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$ are 4-dimensional para-Kähler structures. Furthermore, the para-Kähler metric G^ϵ is conformally flat (resp. Einstein) if and only if the Gauss curvatures κ_1 and κ_2 are constants with $\kappa_1 = -\epsilon\kappa_2$ (resp. $\kappa_1 = \epsilon\kappa_2$).*

In Section 3 we study the surface theory of the para-Kähler structures constructed in Section 2. An analogous result with Theorem 3 of [8] is the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) be Lorentzian two manifolds and let $(G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$ be the para-Kähler product structures on $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ constructed in Section 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

(i) *The metrics g_1 and g_2 are both non-flat almost everywhere and away from flat points we have $\epsilon\kappa_1\kappa_2 < 0$.*

(ii) *Only one of the metrics g_1 and g_2 is flat while the other is non-flat almost everywhere. Then every Ω^ϵ-Lagrangian surface with parallel mean curvature vector is locally the product $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, where each curve $\gamma_i \subset \Sigma_i$ has constant curvature.*

Note 1. Note that the Theorem 3 of [8] holds true for Lagrangian immersions with parallel mean curvature vector in $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$, where (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) are Riemannian two manifolds.

We show that Theorem 2 is no longer true when (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) are both flat. In particular, we construct minimal Lagrangian immersions in the paracomplex Euclidean space $\mathbb{D}^2$, endowed with the pseudo-Hermitian product structure, such that they are not a product of straight lines in $\mathbb{D}$ (Proposition 2).

If $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ denotes the anti-De Sitter 2-space, the Theorem 2 tells us that Ω^--Lagrangian surfaces in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ with parallel mean curvature vector are locally the product of curves in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ with costant curvature. The following theorem proves an analogue result with Theorem 1 in [6]:

Theorem 3. *Every Ω^+-Lagrangian immersions in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$, with non null parallel mean curvature vector, is locally the product (γ_1, γ_2) of curves in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ with costant curvatures k_1, k_2, respectively such that $k_1^2 + k_2^2 > 0$.*

Minimality is the first order condition for a submanifold to be volume-extremizing in its homology class. Minimal submanifolds that are local extremizers of the volume are called *stable minimal submanifolds*. The stability of a minimal submanifold is determined by the monotonicity of the second variation of the volume functional. The second order condition for a minimal submanifold to be volume-extremizing was first derived by Simons [12] and then Harvey while Lawson have proven that minimal Lagrangian submanifolds of a Calabi-Yau manifold is calibrated, which implies by Stokes theorem, that are volume-extremizing [9]. For the stability of minimal Lagrangian surface in $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$ we prove the following:

Theorem 4. *Assume that the Gauss curvatures κ_1 and κ_2 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.*

(i) *If κ_1 and κ_2 are both nonpositive (nonnegative), then every G^+-minimal Lagrangian surface $\phi \times \psi$ where the geodesics ϕ, ψ are spacelike (timelike), is stable.*

(ii) *If κ_1 is nonpositive and κ_2 is nonnegative, then every G^--minimal Lagrangian surface $\phi \times \psi$ where the geodesics ϕ is spacelike and ψ is timelike, is stable.*

A Lagrangian submanifold Σ of a (para-) Kähler manifold is said to be *Hamiltonian minimal* (or *H-minimal*) if it is a critical point of the volume functional with respect to

Hamiltonian variations. A H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold is characterized by the fact that its mean curvature vector is divergence-free, that is, $\mathrm{div}JH = 0$, where J is the (para-) complex structure and H is the mean curvature vector of Σ. If the second variation of the volume functional of a H-minimal submanifold is monotone for any Hamiltonian compactly supported variation, it is said to be *Hamiltonian stable* (or *H-stable*). In [10] and [11], the second variation formula of a H-minimal submanifold has been derived in the case of a Kähler manifold, while for the pseudo-Kähler case it has been given in [4]. The next theorem, in Section 4, investigates the H-stability of projected rank one Hamiltonian G^ϵ-minimal surfaces in $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$:

Theorem 5. *Let $\Phi = (\phi, \psi)$ be of projected rank one Hamiltonian G^ϵ-minimal immersion in $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon)$ such that $\epsilon_\phi \kappa_1 \leq 0$ and $\epsilon_\psi \kappa_2 \leq 0$ along the curves ϕ and ψ respectively. Then Φ is a local maximizer of the volume in its Hamiltonian isotopy class.*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank H. Anciaux, B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg for their helpful and valuable suggestions and comments.

2. The Product para-Kähler structure

Let (Σ, g) be a two dimensional oriented manifold endowed with a non degenerate Lorentzian metric g. Then in a neighbourhood of any point there exist local isothermic coordinates (s, t), i.e., $g_{ss} = -g_{tt}$ and $g_{st} = 0$ (see [2]). The endomorphism $j : \mathrm{T}\Sigma \to \mathrm{T}\Sigma$ defined by $j(\partial/\partial s) = \partial/\partial t$ and $j(\partial/\partial t) = \partial/\partial s$, satisfies $j^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{T\Sigma}$ and $g(j.,j.) = -g(.,.)$. It follows that j defines a paracomplex structure and if we set $\omega(\cdot,\cdot) = g(j_k\cdot,\cdot)$, the quadruple (Σ, g, j, ω) is a 2-dimensional para-Kähler manifold.

For $k = 1, 2$, let $(\Sigma_k, g_k, j_k, \omega_k)$ be the para-Kähler structures defined as before, and consider the product structure $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. The identification $X \in \mathrm{T}(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2) \simeq (X_1, X_2) \in \mathrm{T}\Sigma_1 \oplus \mathrm{T}\Sigma_2$, gives the natural splitting $\mathrm{T}(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2) = \mathrm{T}\Sigma_1 \oplus \mathrm{T}\Sigma_2$. For $(x, y) \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, let $X = (X_1, X_2)$ and $Y = (Y_1, Y_2)$ be two tangent vectors in $\mathrm{T}_{(x,y)}(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2)$ and define the metric G^ϵ by

$$G^\epsilon_{(x,y)}(X, Y) = g_1(X_1, Y_1)(x) + \epsilon g_2(X_2, Y_2)(y),$$

where $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. If ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric G^ϵ, we have $\nabla_X Y = (D^1_{X_1} Y_1, D^2_{X_2} Y_2)$, where D^1, D^2 denote the Levi-Civita connections with respect to the metrics g_1 and g_2, respectively. The endomorphism $J \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{T}\Sigma_1 \oplus \mathrm{T}\Sigma_2)$ defined by $J = j_1 \oplus j_2$ is an almost paracomplex structure on $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, while the two-forms $\Omega^\epsilon = \pi_1^*\omega_1 + \epsilon\pi_2^*\omega_2$, are symplectic structures, with $\pi_i : \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \to \Sigma_i$ is the i-th projection.

Proof of Theorem 1: It is clear that the Nijenhuis tensor of J given by (1.1) vanishes. Furthermore, J and Ω^ϵ are compatible, i.e., $\Omega^\epsilon(J.,J.) = -\Omega^\epsilon(.,.)$ and thus the quadruples $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$ are para-Kähler structures.

Let (e_1, e_2) and (v_1, v_2) be orthonormal frames on Σ_1 and Σ_2 respectively, both oriented such that $|e_1|^2 = |v_1|^2 = 1$ and $|e_2|^2 = |v_2|^2 = -1$ and consider an orthonormal frame (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4) of G^ϵ defined by

$$E_1 = (e_1, v_1 + v_2), \quad E_2 = (e_2, v_1 + v_2), \quad E_3 = (\epsilon(e_2 - e_1), v_1), \quad E_4 = (\epsilon(e_1 - e_2), v_2).$$

The Ricci curvature tensor Ric^ϵ of G^ϵ gives

$$\mathrm{R}ic^\epsilon(E_1, E_1)_{(x,y)} = \kappa_1(x), \qquad \mathrm{R}ic^\epsilon(E_3, E_3)_{(x,y)} = \kappa_2(y),$$

and using the fact $\mathrm{R}ic^\epsilon(J.,J.) = -\mathrm{R}ic^\epsilon(.,.)$, the scalar curvatute R^ϵ is:

$$\mathrm{R}^\epsilon = 2(\kappa_1(x) + \epsilon\kappa_2(y)). \tag{2.1}$$

If G^ϵ is conformally flat, it is scalar flat [5] and thus, from (2.1), the Gauss curvatures κ_1, κ_2 are constants with $\kappa_1 = -\epsilon\kappa_2$.

Conversely, assuming that $\kappa_1 = -\epsilon\kappa_2 = c$, where c is a real constant and following the same computations with the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [8], we prove that the self-dual W^+ and the anti-self-dual part W^- of the Weyl tensor vanish and therefore the metric G^ϵ is conformally flat.

On the other hand, a direct computation shows that $\mathrm{Ric}^\epsilon(E_i, E_j) = cG^\epsilon(E_i, E_j)$ if and only if $\kappa_1 = \epsilon\kappa_2 = c$, where c is a real constant and the theorem follows. □

Corollary 1. *Let (Σ, g) be a Lorentzian two manifold. The para-Kähler metric G^- (resp. G^+) of the four dimensional Kähler manifold $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ is conformally flat (resp. Einstein) if and only if the metric g is of constant Gaussian curvature.*

3. Lagrangian immersions in $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$

In this section, we study Lagrangian immersions in the product $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ endowed with the para-kähler structure $(G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$ constructed in section 2. An immersion $\Phi : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ of a surface S is said to be Lagrangian if $\Phi^*\Omega^\epsilon$ vanishes for every point of S. In this case, the paracomplex structure $J : \mathrm{T}S \to \mathrm{N}S$ is a bundle isomorphism between the tangent bundle $\mathrm{T}S$ and the normal bundle $\mathrm{N}S$. It is well known that a Lagrangian immersion of a pseudo-Riemannian Kähler manifold is indefinite if the Kähler metric is indefinite. Althought the signature of the para-Kähler metric is always neutral, a Lagrangian immersion can be either Riemannian or indefinite. If π_i are the projections of $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ onto Σ_i, $i = 1, 2$, we denote by ϕ and ψ the mappings $\pi_1 \circ \Phi$ and $\pi_2 \circ \Phi$, respectively, and we write $\Phi = (\phi, \psi)$.

Definition 1. The immersion $\Phi = (\phi, \psi) : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ is said to be of *projected rank zero* at a point $p \in S$ if either $rank(\phi(p)) = 0$ or $rank(\psi(p)) = 0$. Φ is of *projected rank one* at p if either $rank(\phi(p)) = 1$ or $rank(\psi(p)) = 1$. Finally, Φ is of *projected rank two* at p if $rank(\phi(p)) = rank(\psi(p)) = 2$.

Note that the fact that Φ is an immersion, implies that Φ is locally either of projected rank zero, one or two.

Let $\Phi = (\phi, \psi)$ be of projected rank zero immersion in $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. Assuming, without loss of generality, that $rank(\phi) = 0$, the map ϕ is locally a constant function and the map ψ is a local diffeomorphism. Following the same argument with Proposition 3.2 in [8], we show that there are no Lagrangian immersions in $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ of projected rank zero.

In order to discuss Lagrangian surfaces of projected rank one, we need to extend the definition of Cornu spirals for a pseudo-Riemannian two manifold.

Definition 2. Let (Σ, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian two manifold. A non-null regular curve γ of Σ is called a *Cornu spiral of parameter* λ if its curvature κ_γ is a linear function of its arclength parameter such that $\kappa_\gamma(s) = \lambda s + \mu$, where s is the arclength and λ, μ are real constants.

Proposition 1. *Let (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) be Lorentzian surfaces and Φ be a nondegenerate Ω^ϵ-Lagrangian surface of projected rank one. Then,*
1) Φ can be locally parametrised by $\Phi : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 : (s, t) \mapsto (\phi(s), \psi(t))$, where ϕ and ψ are respectively non-null regular curves in Σ_1 and Σ_1 with s, t being their arclength parameters,
2) the induced metric Φ^*G^ϵ is flat,
3) Φ is G^ϵ-minimal if and only if the curves ϕ and ψ are geodesics,

4) Φ is H-minimal if and only if ϕ and ψ are Cornu spirals of parameters λ_ϕ and λ_ψ, respectively, such that

$$\epsilon_\phi \lambda_\phi + \epsilon\epsilon_\psi \lambda_\psi = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\epsilon_\phi = g_1(\phi', \phi')$ and $\epsilon_\psi = g_2(\psi', \psi')$.

Proof. Let $\Phi = (\phi, \psi) : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ be of projected rank one Lagrangian immersion. Then either ϕ or ψ is of rank one. Assume, without loss of generality, that ϕ is of rank one. The nondegeneracy of ω_2 implies that ψ is of rank one and thus S is locally parametrised by $\Phi : U \subset S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 : (s,t) \mapsto (\phi(s), \psi(t))$, where ϕ and ψ are regular curves in Σ_1 and Σ_2, respectively. We denote by s, t the arclength parameters of ϕ and ψ, respectively, such that $g_1(\phi', \phi') = \epsilon_\phi$ and $g_s(\psi', \psi') = \epsilon_\psi$, where $\epsilon_\phi, \epsilon_\psi \in \{-1, 1\}$. The Frénet equations give

$$D^1_{\phi'}\phi' = k_\phi j_1 \phi' \qquad D^2_{\psi'}\psi' = k_\psi j_2 \psi',$$

where k_ϕ and k_ψ are the curvatures of ϕ and ψ, respectively. Moreover, $\Phi_s = (\phi', 0)$ and $\Phi_t = (0, \psi')$ and thus

$$\nabla_{\Phi_s}\Phi_s = (k_\phi j_1 \phi', 0), \qquad \nabla_{\Phi_t}\Phi_t = (0, k_\psi j_2 \psi'), \qquad \nabla_{\Phi_t}\Phi_s = (0,0).$$

The immersion Φ is flat since the first fundamental form $G^\epsilon_{ij} = G^\epsilon(\partial_i\Phi, \partial_j\Phi)$ is given by $G_{ss} = \epsilon_\phi$, $G_{tt} = \epsilon\epsilon_\psi$, and $G_{st} = 0$. The second fundamental form h^ϵ of Φ is completely determined by the following tri-symmetric tensor

$$h^\epsilon(X, Y, Z) := G^\epsilon(h^\epsilon(X, Y), JZ) = \Omega^\epsilon(X, \nabla_Y Z).$$

We then have $h^\epsilon_{sst} = h^\epsilon_{stt} = 0$, $h^\epsilon_{sss} = -\epsilon_\phi k_\phi$ and $h^\epsilon_{ttt} = -\epsilon\epsilon_\psi k_\psi$. Denoting by H^ϵ the mean curvature of Φ we have

$$2H^\epsilon = \epsilon_\phi k_\phi J\Phi_s + \epsilon\epsilon_\psi k_\psi J\Phi_t, \tag{3.2}$$

and we can see easily that the Lagrangian immersion Φ is G^ϵ-minimal if and only if the curves ϕ and ψ are geodesics. Moreover, if Φ is a G^ϵ-minimal Lagrangian it is totally geodesic, since the second fundamental form vanishes identically.

The condition (3.1) for Hamiltonian G^ϵ-minimal Lagrangian surfaces of projected rank one, is given by the fact that

$$\operatorname{div}^\epsilon(2JH^\epsilon) = \epsilon_\phi \frac{D}{ds} k_\phi(s) + \epsilon\epsilon_\psi \frac{D}{dt} k_\psi(t),$$

and the Proposition follows. □

We now prove our next theorem:

Proof of Theorem 2: Let $\Phi = (\phi, \psi) : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ be a Ω^ϵ-Lagrangian immersion of projected rank two. Then the mappings $\phi : S \to \Sigma_1$ and $\psi : S \to \Sigma_2$ are both local diffeomorphisms. The Lagrangian condition yields

$$\phi^*\omega_1 = -\epsilon\psi^*\omega_2. \tag{3.3}$$

Without loss of generality we consider an orthonormal frame (e_1, e_2) of $\Phi^* G^\epsilon$ such that,

$$G^\epsilon(d\Phi(e_1), d\Phi(e_1)) = \epsilon_1 G^\epsilon(d\Phi(e_2), d\Phi(e_2)) = 1, \qquad G^\epsilon(d\Phi(e_1), d\Phi(e_2)) = 0.$$

Note that for $\epsilon_1 = 1$ the induced metric $\Phi^* G^\epsilon$ is Riemannian while for $\epsilon_1 = -1$ the induced metric $\Phi^* G^\epsilon$ is Lorentzian.

Let (s_1, s_2) and (v_1, v_2) be oriented orthonormal frames of (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2), respectively, such that $|s_1|^2 = -|s_2|^2 = 1$ and $|v_1|^2 = -|v_2|^2 = 1$ with $j_1 s_1 = s_2$ and $j_2 v_1 = v_2$. Then there exist smooth functions $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$ on Σ_1 and $\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2$ on Σ_2 such that

$$d\phi(e_1) = \lambda_1 s_1 + \lambda_2 s_2, \;\; d\phi(e_2) = \mu_1 s_1 + \mu_2 s_2, \;\; d\psi(e_1) = \bar{\lambda}_1 v_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 v_2, \;\; d\psi(e_2) = \bar{\mu}_1 v_1 + \bar{\mu}_2 v_2.$$

Using the Lagrangian condition (3.3), we have

$$(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1)(\phi(p)) = -\epsilon(\bar{\lambda}_1\bar{\mu}_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2\bar{\mu}_1)(\psi(p)), \quad \forall \;\; p \in S.$$

Moreover, the assumption that Φ is of projected rank two, implies that $\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1 \neq 0$ for every $p \in S$.

For the mean curvature vector H^ϵ of the immersion Φ, consider the one form a_{H^ϵ} defined by $a_{H^\epsilon} = G^\epsilon(JH^\epsilon, \cdot)$. Since Φ is a Lagrangian in a para-Kähler 4-manifold

$$da_{H^\epsilon} = -\Phi^*\rho^\epsilon,$$

where ρ^ϵ is the Ricci form of G^ϵ. The fact that Φ has parallel mean curvature vector implies that the one form a_{H^ϵ} is closed and thus,

$$(\mu_1\lambda_2 - \mu_2\lambda_1)\Big[\Big(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 + \epsilon_1(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2)\Big)\kappa_1 - \Big(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \bar{\lambda}_2^2 + \epsilon_1(\bar{\mu}_1^2 - \bar{\mu}_2^2)\Big)\kappa_2\Big)\Big] = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\Big(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 + \epsilon_1(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2)\Big)\kappa_1 = \Big(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \bar{\lambda}_2^2 + \epsilon_1(\bar{\mu}_1^2 - \bar{\mu}_2^2)\Big)\kappa_2. \tag{3.4}$$

Following the same argument with the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [8], we show that

$$\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 + \epsilon_1(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \bar{\lambda}_2^2 + \epsilon_1(\bar{\mu}_1^2 - \bar{\mu}_2^2) = \epsilon, \tag{3.5}$$

and the relation (3.4) becomes

$$\kappa_1(\phi(p)) = \epsilon\kappa_2(\psi(p)), \qquad \text{for every} \;\; p \in S,$$

which implies that the metrics g_1 and g_2 can satisfy neither condition (i) nor condition (ii) of the statement and the theorem follows. □

We immediately obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2. *Let (Σ, g) be a non-flat Lorentzian two manifold. Then every G^--minimal Lagrangian surface immersed in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ is of projected rank one and consequently the product of two geodesics of (Σ, g).*

Example 1. Consider the real space $\mathbb{R}^3$, endowed with the pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle ., . \rangle_p = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} dx_i^2 + \sum_{i=p+1}^{3} dx_i^2$. We define the *de Sitter 2-space* $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_a^2$ and the *anti de Sitter 2-space* $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}_a^2$ of radius $a > 0$, by $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_a^2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 |\; \langle x, x \rangle_1 = a^2\}$ and $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}_a^2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 |\; \langle x, x \rangle_2 = a^2\}$. Note that $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_a^2$ and $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}_a^2$ are anti-isometric and therefore we only use the De Sitter 2-space $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_a^2$. Moreover, the Gauss curvature is constant with $\kappa(\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_a^2) = a^{-1}$. For positives a, b with $a \neq b$, the Theorem 2 implies that every Ω^ϵ-Lagrangian surface with parallel mean curvature in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_a^2 \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}_b^2$ is locally the product of geodesics $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$.

Example 2. The space $L^-(\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3)$ of oriented timelike geodesics in the anti-De Sitter 3-space $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$ is diffeomorphic to the product $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$. The para-Kähler metric G^ϵ is invariant under the natural action of the isometry group $\mathrm{I}so(\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3, g)$ (see [1] and [3]). It is clear by Corollary 2 that every G^--minimal Lagrangian surface immersed in $L^-(\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3)$ is locally the product of two geodesics in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$.

The set of para-complex numbers $\mathbb{D}$ is defined to be the two-dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the commutative algebra structure whose product rule is

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1x_2 + y_1y_2, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1).$$

The number $(0, 1)$, whose square is $(1, 0)$, will be denoted by τ. It is convenient to use the following notation: $(x, y) \simeq z = x+\tau y$. In particular, one has the same conjugation operator than in $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\overline{x + \tau y} = x - \tau y$ with corresponding square norm $|z|^2 := z.\bar{z} = x^2 - y^2$. In other words, the metric associated to $|.|^2$ is the Minkowski metric $dx^2 - dy^2$.

On the Cartesian product $\mathbb{D}^2$ with para-complex coordinates (z_1, z_2), we define the canonical para-Kähler structure (J, G^ϵ) by

$$J(z_1, z_2) := (\tau z_1, \tau z_2), \qquad G^\epsilon := dz_1 d\bar{z}_1 + \epsilon dz_2 d\bar{z}_2.$$

We now give a similar result of Dong [7] for Lagrangian graphs in $\mathbb{C}^n$, to show that there are G^--minimal Lagrangian surface of rank two in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ when (Σ, g) is a flat Lorentzian surface.

Proposition 2. *Let U be some open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$, u a smooth, real-valued function defined on U and Φ be the graph of the gradient i.e. the immersion $\Phi : U \to \mathbb{D}^2 : x \mapsto x + \tau\nabla u(x)$, where ∇u is the gradient of u with respect to the Minkowski metric g of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then Φ is G^--minimal Lagrangian if and only if u is a harmonic function with respect to the metric g.*

Proof. If $x = (x_1, x_2)$, the first derivatives of the immersion $\Phi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1+\tau u_{x_1}, x_2-\tau u_{x_2})$ are

$$\Phi_{x_1} = (1 + \tau u_{x_1x_1}, -\tau u_{x_1x_2}), \qquad \Phi_{x_2} = (\tau u_{x_1x_2}, 1 - \tau u_{x_2x_2}).$$

The symplectic structure is $\Omega^-(.,.) = G^-(J.,.)$. Then $\Omega^-(\Phi_{x_1}, \Phi_{x_2}) = 0$ and therefore Φ is a Lagrangian immersion. The Lagrangian angle is

$$\beta \quad = \quad arg(1 - u_{x_1x_1}u_{x_2x_2} + u^2_{x_1x_2} + \tau(u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2})).$$

Then Φ is G^--minimal if and only if $u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2} = 0$ and the proposition follows. □

The immersion Φ in the Proposition 2 is of rank two at the open subset $\{(x_1, x_2) \in U |\, u_{x_1x_2} \neq 0\}$.

We denote by $d\mathbb{S}^2$ the De Sitter 2-space of radius one. Then we prove our next result:

Proof of Theorem 3: If $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ denotes the Lorentzian space $(\mathbb{R}^3, \langle , \rangle_1)$, we define the Lorentzian cross product $\otimes$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ by

$$u \otimes v := \mathrm{I}_{1,2} \cdot (u \times v),$$

where $u \times v$ is the standard cross product in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathrm{I}_{1,2} = \mathrm{d}iag(-1, 1, 1)$. For $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\langle u \otimes v, u \otimes w\rangle_1 = - \langle u, u\rangle_1 \langle v, w\rangle_1 + \langle u, v\rangle_1 \langle u, w\rangle_1 . \tag{3.6}$$

The paracomplex structure j on $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ is given by $j_x(v) := x \otimes v$, where $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is such that $\langle x, v\rangle_1 = 0$. It can be verified easily that $x \otimes (x \otimes v) = v$.

If h denotes the second fundamental form of the inclusion map $i : \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u, v \in \mathrm{T}_x\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$, we have $h_x(u, v) = - \langle u, v\rangle_1 x$. We consider the para-Kähler structure (G^+, Ω^+, J) of the product $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ and denote by $\tilde{h}$ the second fundamental form of $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus,

$$\tilde{h}_{(x,y)}(U, V) = (- \langle u_1, v_1\rangle_1 x, - \langle u_2, v_2\rangle_1 y),$$

where $U=(u_1,u_2), V=(v_1,v_2)\in \mathrm{T}_{(x,y)}(\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2\times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2)$. From (3.6) we obtain

$$\tilde{h}_{(x,y)}(JU,JV)=-\tilde{h}_{(x,y)}(U,V). \tag{3.7}$$

For an orthonormal frame (v_1,v_2) of $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$, oriented such that $|v_1|^2=-|v_2|^2=1$, we consider the following oriented orthonormal frame $(E_1,E_2=JE_1,E_3,E_4=JE_3)$ of G^+ defined by

$$E_1=(v_1,v_1+v_2),\qquad E_3=(-v_1+v_2,v_1),$$

and we prove that the mean curvature $\tilde{H}$ of the inclusion map of $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$2\tilde{H}_{(x,y)}=-(x,y). \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\Phi=(\phi,\psi): S\to \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$ be a Ω^+- Lagrangian immersion with non null parallel mean curvature vector H. Following similar arguments with Theorem 1 of [6], consider an orthonormal frame (e_1,e_2) with respect to the induced metric such that $|e_1|^2=\epsilon_1|e_2|^2=1$. Then the equation (3.5) becomes,

$$|d\phi(e_1)|^2+\epsilon_1|d\phi(e_2)|^2=|d\psi(e_1)|^2+\epsilon_1|d\psi(e_2)|^2=1. \tag{3.9}$$

By the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$(|d\phi e_1|^2-\epsilon_1|d\phi e_2|^2)^2+4\epsilon_1 g_1(d\phi e_1,d\phi e_2)^2=1+4\epsilon_1 C^2, \tag{3.10}$$

where $C:=\lambda_2\mu_1-\lambda_1\mu_2=\bar{\lambda}_1\bar{\mu}_2-\bar{\lambda}_2\bar{\mu}_1$ and is called *the associated Jacobian* of the Lagrangian immersion Φ (see [6] and [13] for definitions and further details). Note that the vanishing of the associated Jacobian is equivalent with the fact that the Lagrangian immersion Φ is of projected rank one.

From (3.7) and (3.8), the mean curvature vector $\bar{H}$ of S in $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$\bar{H}=H-\frac{1}{2}\Phi. \tag{3.11}$$

Since $\nabla^\perp H=0$ together with the Lagrangian condition, implies that $\nabla JH=0$, where $\nabla^\perp$ and ∇ denote the normal and tangential part of the Levi-Civita connection of G^+. From Theorem 1, we know that G^+ is Einstein and so there exists locally a function β on S such that $JH=\nabla\beta$. Thus, using the Boschner formula,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla\beta|^2=\mathrm{R}ic(\nabla\beta,\nabla\beta)+g(\nabla\beta,\nabla\Delta\beta)+g(\nabla^2\beta,\nabla^2\beta),$$

we have that the induced metric g is flat. Furthermore, the normal curvature of Φ vanishes. It is important to mention that the Boschner formula holds also for pseudo-Riemannian metrics [4].

Let (x,y) be isothermal local coordinates of g, i.e., $g=e^{2u}(dx^2+\epsilon_1 dy^2)$ and let $z=x+iy$. Note that for $\epsilon_1=1$, the variable z is a local holomorphic coordinate, while for $\epsilon_1=-1$, the variable z is a local paraholomorphic coordinate. A brief computation gives,

$$G^+(\Phi_z,\Phi_z)=g_1(\phi_z,\phi_z)+g_2(\psi_z,\psi_z)=0$$

From (3.11) we have that $\Phi_{z\bar{z}}=e^{2u}\Big(H-\Phi/2\Big)/2$, and

$$\Phi_{zz}=2u_z\Phi_z-G^+(H,J\Phi_z)J\Phi_z-2G^+(\Phi_{zz},J\Phi_z)J\Phi_{\bar{z}}-\frac{1}{2}G^+(\Phi_z,\hat{\Phi}_z)\hat{\Phi},$$

where $\hat{\Phi}=(\phi,-\psi)$. A direct computation implies,

$$G^+(J\Phi_{\bar{z}},\hat{\Phi}_z)=i\epsilon_1 e^{2u}C,$$

and thus, $\hat{\Phi}_z = 2G^+(\Phi_z, \hat{\Phi}_z)\Phi_{\bar{z}} - 2i\epsilon_1 CJ\Phi_z$. From (3.10), it follows that

$$|G^+(\Phi_z, \hat{\Phi}_z)|^2 = 4|g_1(\phi_z, \phi_z)|^2 = 4|g_2(\psi_z, \psi_z)|^2 = \frac{1 + 4\epsilon_1 C^2}{4}. \tag{3.12}$$

It is not hard for one to obtain,

$$|G^+(H, J\Phi_z)|^2 = -\frac{|H|^2}{4}. \tag{3.13}$$

Since the normal curvature of Φ vanishes, the Ricci equation yields,

$$|G^+(\Phi_{zz}, J\Phi_z)|^2 = -\frac{e^{6u}(|H|^2 + 4C^2)}{16}. \tag{3.14}$$

We now use the Gauss equation to get $C^2 = 2e^{-4u}(|\Phi_{zz}|^2 - |\Phi_{z\bar{z}}|^2)$, which implies,

$$\frac{C^2 e^{4u}}{2} = 2|u_z|^2 e^{2u} - \frac{e^{2u}|G^+(H, J\Phi_z)|^2}{2} - 2e^{-2u}|G^+(\Phi_{zz}, J\Phi_z)|^2 + \frac{|G^+(\Phi_z, \hat{\Phi}_z)|^2}{2} - \frac{e^{4u}(|H|^2 + 1/2)}{4},$$

and from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) we finally obtain $C^2 = -4\epsilon_1|u_z|^2 e^{-2u}$. Since g is flat, it is possible to choose local coordinates (x, y) such that $g = dx^2 + \epsilon_1 dy^2$, that is, the function u is constant and therefore $C = 0$. Then Φ is of projected rank one and in particular, it is locally a product of curves with constant curvature such that they cannot be both geodesics. □

4. (H-) Stability of (H-) minimal Lagrangian surfaces

For the stability of G^ϵ-minimal Lagrangian surfaces in the para-Kähler structure $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon, J, \Omega^\epsilon)$, we prove the following theorem:

Proof of Theorem 4: Let $\Phi : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ be a G^ϵ-minimal Lagrangian surface. By assumption, Φ is of projected rank one and therefore it is locally the product $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ of non-null geodesics parametrised by $\Phi(s, t) = (\phi(s), \psi(t))$. If (S_t) is a normal variation of S with velocity $X \in \mathrm{N}S$, the second variation formula is,

$$\delta^2 V(S)(X) = \int_S \Big(G^\epsilon(\nabla^\perp X, \nabla^\perp X) - G^\epsilon(A_X, A_X) + G^\epsilon(R^\perp(X), X) \Big) dV,$$

where, A_X is the shape operator and $R^\perp(X) := \mathrm{Tr}\Big((Y_1, Y_2) \mapsto R(Y_1, X)Y_2)\Big)$.

For $X = X^1 J\Phi_s + X^2 J\Phi_t$, we have,

$$G^\epsilon(\nabla^\perp X, \nabla^\perp X) = -(X^1_s)^2 - (X^2_t)^2 - \epsilon\epsilon_\phi\epsilon_\psi\big((X^2_s)^2 + (X^1_t)^2\big)$$

. Furthermore, $G^\epsilon(A_X, A_X) = 0$ and a brief computation gives,

$$G^\epsilon(R^\perp(X), X) = \epsilon_\phi (X^1)^2 \kappa(g_1) + \epsilon_\psi (X^2)^2 \kappa(g_2).$$

The metric G^ϵ is of neutral signature and therefore a necessary condition for a minimal surface to be stable is that the induced metric $\Phi^* G^\epsilon$ must be Riemannian [2]. This implies that $\epsilon_\phi = \epsilon\epsilon_\psi$ and hence the second variation formula becomes

$$\delta^2 V(S)(X) = \int_S -(X^1_s)^2 - (X^2_s)^2 - (X^1_t)^2 - (X^2_t)^2 + \epsilon_\phi (X^1)^2 \kappa(g_1) + \epsilon_\psi (X^2)^2 \kappa(g_2),$$

and the theorem follows. □

EXAMPLE 3. We give the following examples of G^ϵ-minimal Lagrangian surfaces that are stable:

Product	Type of $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$
$(\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_a \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_b, G^+)$	$\gamma_1 \subset \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_a, \gamma_2 \subset \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_b$ both timelike
$(\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_a \times \mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^2_b, G^-)$	$\gamma_1 \subset \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_a$ timelike and $\gamma_2 \subset \mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^2_b$ spacelike
$(\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_a \times \mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^2_a, G^-)$	$\gamma_1 \subset \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_a$ timelike and $\gamma_2 \subset \mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^2_a$ spacelike
$(\mathbb{D} \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_b, G^+)$	$\gamma_1 \subset \mathbb{D}, \gamma_2 \subset \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_b$ both timelike
$(\mathbb{D} \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_b, G^-)$	$\gamma_1 \subset \mathbb{D}$ spacelike and $\gamma_2 \subset \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_b$ timelike

We now study the H-stability of a H-minimal surface in the para-Kähler structure $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, G^\epsilon, \Omega^\epsilon, J)$. We recall that the H-stability of a H-minimal surface S in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, G) is given by the monotonicity of the second variation formula of the volume $V(S)$ under Hamiltonian deformations (see [4] and [10]). For a smooth compactly supported function $u \in C^\infty_c(S)$ the second variation $\delta^2 V(S)(X)$ formula in the direction of the Hamiltonian vector field $X = J\nabla u$ is:

$$\delta^2 V(S)(X) = \int_S -(\Delta u)^2 + \mathrm{R}ic^G(\nabla u, \nabla u) + 2G(h(\nabla u, \nabla u), nH) + G^2(nH, J\nabla u), \tag{4.1}$$

where h is the second fundamental form of S, $\mathrm{R}ic^G$ is the Ricci curvature tensor of the metric G, and Δ with ∇ denote the Laplacian and gradient, respectively, with respect to the metric G induced on S. For the Hamiltonian stability of projected rank one Hamiltonian G^ϵ-minimal surfaces we prove the following theorem:

PROOF OF THEOREM 5: Let $\Phi = (\phi, \psi) : S \to \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ be of projected rank one Hamiltonian G^ϵ-minimal immersion and let (s, t) be the corresponded arclengths of ϕ and ψ, respectively.

After a brief computation, the second variation formula for the volume functional with respect to the Hamiltonian vector field $X = J\nabla u$ given by (4.1), becomes

$$\delta^2 V(S)(X) = \int_S -(\epsilon_\phi u_{ss} + \epsilon\epsilon_\psi u_{tt})^2 + \epsilon_\phi u_s^2 \kappa_1 + \epsilon_\psi u_t^2 \kappa_2 - (\epsilon_\phi u_s k_\phi - \epsilon\epsilon_\psi u_t k_\psi)^2,$$

where κ_1, κ_2 are the Gauss curvatures of g_1 and g_2, respetively and the theorem follows. □

We also have the next Proposition:

Corollary 3. *Let (Σ, g) be a Riemannian two manifold of positive (negative) Gaussian curvature. Assume that every G^--minimal Lagrangian surface $\Phi = (\phi, \psi)$ immersed in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ is a pair of timelike (spacelike) geodesics in Σ. Then Φ is H-stable.*

EXAMPLE 4. We know from [3] that every G^--minimal Lagrangian immersion in $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$ is the Gauss map of a equidistant tube along a geodesic γ in $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$ and following the example 2 it must be locally parametrised as the product of geodesics in $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2$. In this example, we are going to see exactly how can we obtain this product of geodesics. Assume that γ is a spacelike geodesic. Since the metric G^- is invariant under the natural action of the isometry group of $\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$, we may assume that γ is parametrised by $\gamma(s) = (0, 0, \cos s, \sin s)$. In this case the tube over γ with constant distance $d > 0$ is parametrised by,

$$f : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3 : (s, t) \mapsto (\sinh d \cos t, \sinh d \sin t, \cosh d \cos s, \cosh d \sin s).$$

The normal vector field is $N(s, t) = (\cosh d \cos t, \cosh d \sin t, \sinh d \cos s, \sinh d \sin s)$, and thus $(f, v_1 := f_s/|f_s|, v_2 := f_t/|f_t|, N) \in SO(2, 2)$. It is also known by [3], that $L^-(\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3)$ is identified with the Grassmannian $\mathrm{G}r^-(2, 4) := \{x \wedge y \in \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \ y \in T_x \mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3, \ \langle y, y \rangle_2 =$

$-1\}$. For an oriented orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2,2} := (\mathbb{R}^4, \langle ., . \rangle_2)$ such that $-|e_1| = -|e_2| = |e_3| = |e_4| = 1$ we define the subspaces $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}_{\pm}$ of $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ generated by the vectors

$$E^1_{\pm} = (e_1 \wedge e_2 \pm e_3 \wedge e_4)/\sqrt{2}, \quad E^2_{\pm} = (e_1 \wedge e_3 \pm e_4 \wedge e_2)/\sqrt{2}, \quad E^3_{\pm} = (e_1 \wedge e_4 \pm e_2 \wedge e_3)/\sqrt{2}.$$

We define the de Sitter 2-spaces by $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_{\pm} := \{x = x_1E^1_{\pm} + x_2E^2_{\pm} + x_3E^3_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}^{1,2}_{\pm} |\ \langle x, x \rangle_2 = -1\}$. If $u_1 \wedge u_2 \in \mathrm{G}r^-(2,4)$ take u_3, u_4 such that $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in SO(2,2)$. The map

$$\mathrm{G}r^-(2,4) \to \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_- \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_+ : u_1 \wedge u_2 \mapsto ((u_1 \wedge u_2 + u_3 \wedge u_4)/\sqrt{2}, (u_1 \wedge u_2 - u_3 \wedge u_4)/\sqrt{2}),$$

is a diffeomorphism. The Gauss map $\bar{f} = f \wedge N \in L^-(\mathrm{A}d\mathbb{S}^3)$ is identified to the following pair $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_- \times \mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_+$, given by

$$\phi(s,t) = (f \wedge N + v_1 \wedge v_2)/\sqrt{2}, \quad \psi(s,t) = (f \wedge N - v_1 \wedge v_2)/\sqrt{2}.$$

By setting $u = t - s$ and $v = t + s$, we observe that ϕ and ψ are the following geodesics of $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^2_{\pm}$:

$$\phi(u) = -\cos u E^2_- + \sin u E^3_-, \quad \psi(v) = -\cos(v)E^2_+ - \sin(v)E^3_+.$$

Firthermore, ϕ and ψ are timelike geodesics and therefore $\bar{f}$ is a (unstable) H-stable minimal Lagrangian torus in $L^-(\mathrm{A}d\,\mathbb{S}^3)$. For the case where γ is a timelike geodesic, a similar argument shows that $\bar{f}$ is H-unstable. We emphasize here that by using different methods in [4], it was first proven that the Gauss map $\bar{f}$ is H-stable.

References

[1] D. Alekseevsky, B. Guilfoyle and W. Klingenberg, *On the geometry of spaces of oriented geodesics*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **40** (2011), 389–409.

[2] H. Anciaux, *Minimal submanifolds in pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[3] H. Anciaux, *Space of geodesics of pseudo-Riemannian space forms and normal congruences of hypersurfaces,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 2699–2718.

[4] H. Anciaux and N. Georgiou, *Hamiltonian stability of Hamiltonian minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in pseudo- and para- Kähler manifolds*, to appear in Adv. Geom.

[5] H. Anciaux and P. Romon, *A canonical structure of the tangent bundle of a pseudo- or para- Kähler manifold*, arXiv:1301.4638.

[6] I. Castro and F. Urbano, *Minimal Lagrangian surfaces in* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), 217–248.

[7] Y. Dong, *On Indenite Special Lagrangian Submanifolds in Indenite Complex Euclidean Spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 710–726.

[8] N. Georgiou, *On minimal Lagrangian surfaces in the product of Riemannian two manifolds*, to appear in Tôhoku Math. J.

[9] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47–157.

[10] Y. G. Oh, *Second variation and stabilities of minimal lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 501–519.

[11] Y. G. Oh, *Volume minimization of Lagrangian submanifolds under Hamiltonian deformations*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), 175–192.

[12] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds,* Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 62–105.

[13] T. Urbano and F. Urbano, *Surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 785–813.

Nikos Georgiou, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010 Cidade Universitaria,, São Paulo, SP - CEP 05508-090, Brazil.

Department of Mathematics,, Federal University of São Carlos,, Rua Washington Luis km 235,, 13565-905-São Carlos -Brazil.

E-mail address: nikos@ime.usp.br